

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/001,367	Applicant(s) BREWITT, BARBARA A.	
	Examiner Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D	Art Unit 1647	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D.

(3) ____

 (2) Victor King.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 23 June 2006
Time: A.M
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

All claims. Specifically 1,9 and 30.

Prior art documents discussed:


Antoniades et al.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Office indicated allowability of the claims if the limitation of claim 30 (solid medium is a tablet) is written into claim 1. Applicant was willing to write the limitation of claim 9 and wanted claim 30 to be a separate claim.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)